

HEALTH SERVICES RESEARCH GRANTS SCHEME APPLICATION GUIDELINES

June 2021

1. INTRODUCTION

The HCF Research Foundation was established in 2000 by The Hospitals Contribution Fund of Australia Limited (HCF). The aim of the HCF Research Foundation is to fund health and medical research for the benefit of HCF members and all Australians, with a focus on health services research.

The HCF Research Foundation aims to increase the evidence base in the delivery of health care services and support the translation and uptake of effective health care models and services. The HCF Research Foundation strives to support research that will ultimately improve the delivery of health services for our members and all Australians.

A. HEALTH SERVICES RESEARCH GRANTS

Health services research examines how people access health care, how much it costs and what happens to patients as a result of this care. The HCF Research Foundation funds research proposals that use and enhance current knowledge to improve healthcare outcomes, including the quality, efficiency and equity of and access to health services. Through the Health Services Research Grants scheme, the HCF Research Foundation aims to deliver better health outcomes and access to affordable, high-quality health care when and where it is needed.

There is a limited amount of funding available each year. Applicants are advised that while there is no cap on the amount of funding that they can apply for, applicants must clearly articulate the excellence of their project, and costing has to be realistic and competitive. In estimating the costs of the proposed research, applicants should keep in mind that large, costly projects requiring a substantial percentage of the HCF Research Foundation annual budget will only be funded in exceptional circumstances.

B. THEME AND TOPICS

Each year the HCF Research Foundation invites applicants to apply for funding for research projects that address one or more nominated topics. The 2021 Health Services Research Grants round will focus on three specific topic areas:

- 1) Improving the transition from hospital to community care for better alignment, communication, metrics and patient health outcomes.
- 2) Demonstrating safe, effective and cost-effective alternatives to hospital care.
- 3) Approaches that effectively and measurably activate patients' engagement in their chronic disease and associated health seeking behaviour.

Each year the HCF Research Foundation receives far more applications that can possibly receive funding. Please do not waste our time, or yours or your institutions' time. If your research does not explicitly meet the Foundation's advertised theme and topic, then no application should be submitted. If you are unsure, please contact the Foundation to discuss your project prior to submitting your application.

2. ELIGIBILITY

A) KEY DATES

The 2021 round of the HCF Health Services Research Grants scheme will proceed according to the below timetable. However, with the exception of the closing date for applications, the timetable is subject to change without notice.

PHASE	DATE
APPLICATIONS OPEN	7 July 2021
APPLICATIONS CLOSE	27 August 2021 (5pm AEST)
REVIEW PERIOD	September – October 2021
BOARD DECISION	Mid-December 2021
OUTCOMES COMMUNICATED TO APPLICANTS	Mid-December 2021
FUNDING START DATE	1 March 2022

B) HEALTH SERVICES RESEARCH AND TOPICS

To be successful applications must meet the HCF Research Foundation mission of improving health and wellbeing outcomes for HCF members and all Australians, by increasing the evidence base in the delivery of effective health care services.

Additionally, applications must directly address one of the listed topics in 1.B above. Applications that do not address both the mission and a key topic will be considered ineligible for funding.

C) ADMINISTERING INSTITUTION

A single reputable research institution must be nominated as the Administering Institution. The institution must have in place policies and procedures for the management of research funds, management of intellectual property, and for the conduct of research consistent with the Australian Code for the Responsible Conduct of Research. It is expected that projects will be managed under the auspices of a University, hospital or research institution.

The administering institution must review the Funding Agreement (<https://www.hcf.com.au/about-us/hcf-foundation/hcf-foundation-applications>) prior to submission of your application and agree to signing the terms of the agreement should funding be awarded.

Commercial entities and non-government organisations with research interests are encouraged to join and support applications as collaborators. In these instances, and particularly for those organisations with commercial interests in the research and/or the ability to self-fund research, generous co-funding arrangements are expected.

D) LEAD INVESTIGATOR AND INVESTIGATOR TEAM

Each application must have a single nominated lead investigator who is the primary individual responsible for delivering the program of research should it be funded. There is a limit of one application per individual lead investigator. However, there is no limit to the number of applications on which an individual may be listed as a co-investigator.

Collectively, the investigators must show evidence of successfully leading a program of academic research. This evidence can be through publications, research impacts and/or previous funding awards.

The lead investigator must be an Australian citizen, New Zealand citizen, or otherwise have the right to live and work in Australia throughout the proposed grant period. Awarded grants are generally not transferable to an alternative lead investigator, nor are they transferable to an administering institution based outside of Australia.

A maximum of 5 Co-Investigators can be included in any application. Co-Investigators are those key individuals involved in the research application whose participation is required for successful completion of the project. Evidence of research productivity will also be required for Co-Investigators. Associate Investigators may also be listed in the application. It is appropriate for individuals with minor supporting roles and input in the research program to be listed as Associate Investigators. The roles of each Co-Investigator and Associate Investigator should be adequately described and justified.

E) BUDGETS

All items in the requested budget must be directly related to the project and fully justified. Failure to sufficiently justify items may result in a reduced offer of funding. Submitted budgets should be divided into three components – salaries, direct research costs and translation costs. The below guide is not exhaustive, and all funding is at the discretion of the HCF Research Foundation Board.

1. Salaries:

- HCF Research Foundation will only support salaries for individuals for their time committed to the research project. For example, an investigator requesting salary who is only working 0.6 FTE on the project will only be eligible for 0.6 of their per annum salary. We do not fund business as usual staff salaries providing in or out of hospital services, or other healthcare services.
- Additional salary on-cost support is limited to 20%.
- We do not fund salaries for staff based outside Australia.
- For administrative and technical support staff hourly rates of pay are to be determined from the relevant Award Rates.

2. Direct Research costs:

- **Equipment, materials and consumables:** The purchase of essential items and equipment specifically required for the project is allowed if such items are normally not available.
- **Research services:** Research services directly required for the successful conduct of the project are permitted. Budgets must include information of the facility to be used, rates per hour, and number of hours required. Reasonable expenses for computer services, or purchase/licensing of software is also permitted.
- **Travel:** Funds for travel will only be considered when they form an integral part of the project.
- **Participant recruitment:** costs for participant recruitment may be included where there is a justifiable need.
- **Software development:** Software development costs will not be funded.

3. Research Translation costs:

It is important to comprehensively explain and itemise the costs of translation activities during and post completion of your research. You need to clearly identify which costs which be incurred during the research project, and which will be incurred after the research is completed. Provision of funding for post-completion translation costs are conditional and subject to HCF Research Foundation approval of the final research report. Translation costs may include:

- Publishing fees
- Peer engagement and training
- Consumer/patient education
- Government, funder, college, national body and other stakeholder engagement
- Clinical guidelines and/or policy change
- Media and promotions

HCF Research Foundation will not fund:

- Institutional overhead and administration costs and office supplies
- Research infrastructure
- Insurance costs
- Costs related to intellectual property
- Entertainment, hospitality and personal expenses (including subscriptions and professional membership fees)

Co-funding and in-kind support

Secured and pending co-funding should be itemized and included so HCF Research Foundation can appropriately assess the value proposition of the investment. In-kind support that has been committed should also be included.

4. REVIEW PROCESS

The HCF Research Foundation review process is designed as shown below, however remains subject to change without notice. In the event of a significant change to the process HCF Research Foundation will use its best efforts to notify all affected parties.



The decisions of the HCF Research Foundation Board will be final. The HCF Research Foundation will ensure all applicants are made aware of their application outcome. No feedback will be provided regarding specific applications.

A) ASSESSMENT CRITERIA

All applications to HCF Research Foundation’s Health Services Research Grants scheme are to be assessed against the four Assessment Criteria described below and in detail in the Appendix. HCF Research Foundation will generate a single overall score for each application based on the weighted scores for each of the criteria from the panels of reviewers (both external and internal). This overall score will be used to rank applications.

i. Research design

Applicants must clearly articulate the foundation of the project, the central hypothesis and the design of the research project. The Background section should clearly state the rationale for the project, and why this area is a priority for change. The Research Design and methodology section should clearly describe the project, any intervention(s) and what is being evaluated, as well as the study end-points.

- If participants are involved, describe how they will be recruited.
- Clearly list metrics that will be measured and state where data measurements will come from, e.g. specific hospitals, patient surveys or government data sources.
- Be clear about the study methodology (i.e. RCT, qualitative etc.) and identify any scientific or technical risks and mitigation strategies. The methodology section **must** include detail on the statistical methods to be employed during the study.

ii. Translation potential

The HCF Research Foundation Board are interested in funding research that provides good opportunity to achieve positive change in health services. Articulate how your study will advance current thinking and approaches. Ensure you communicate your translation plan, and how your activities both during and post-research will result in changes to practice. The inclusion of short-, medium-, and long-term translation activities and goals is encouraged. Applicants should also identify, if applicable, where HCF is able to act on or assist with translation and implementation of the research outcomes to further drive uptake.

iii. Significance

Applicants must describe the potential significance of the research project, both in terms of the scale of the issue, and the severity. These should be combined to illustrate the potential impact for the project to have on health services and consequently health outcomes. Impact can be through social, economic and/or health outcomes.

iv. Team and stakeholders

Peer reviewers will be expected to review each application on its merits, including the track record of the applicant team. Applicants will need to provide sufficient information for each investigator and justify their selection as part of the research team. Additionally, key stakeholders for the research project and its translation and implementation should be identified.

I. Relative to opportunity

HCF Research Foundation acknowledges that no two research careers are the same, therefore in determining track record reviewers are to take relative to opportunity considerations into account. Reviewers should take the investigator's career stage and circumstances into account and consider whether the investigator's research productivity is commensurate to the opportunities available.

Relative to opportunity considerations that are taken into account when assessing track record are divided into two categories: career disruption, and other considerations. Career disruptions are considered separately below. Other considerations that may be considered during peer review include (but are not limited to):

- Research role(s) and responsibilities, including amount of time spent as an active researcher
- Available resources and facilities (including situations where research is conducted in remote or isolated communities)
- Other professional responsibilities, such as clinical, administrative or teaching workload, and time working in other (non-academic research) sectors

II. Career disruption

Career disruptions are prolonged interruptions to the ability of the investigator to work in research, due to pregnancy, illness/injury and/or career responsibilities. It is expected that any career disruption would need to result in an absence of work for 90 calendar days or more (this may be continuous or cumulative due to a reduced %FTE).

B) BUDGET REVIEW

Applicants should ensure their budget reflects the size and scope of the proposal. All budget items should be fully justified, and within the budget rules described above. Given the limited pool of funds available, HCF Research Foundation reserves the right to offer funding at a reduced level in instances where costs are not deemed appropriate.

5. EXPECTATIONS IF SUCCESSFULLY FUNDED

Applicants should be aware of HCF Research Foundation's expectations, should they be awarded funding. HCF Research Foundation will strive where possible to minimize the administrative and logistical burden on researchers, however this must be balanced with the needs of HCF Research Foundation.

A) FUNDING AGREEMENT

On submission of a grant application researchers acknowledge that they and their administering institution's grants office have had the funding agreement reviewed and that the funding agreement can be signed with no amendments. Lead Investigators may request to defer the start date for a period of no more than 6 months.

Lead investigators may request variations to the executed funding agreement during the research project due to changes in circumstance. This may include changes to the Co-Investigator team, changing the Administering Institution, or changes to the budget allocation (note that the HCF Research Foundation will not increase the overall amount of funding, however will consider re-purposing the funding to increase the value of the research investment).

B) GOVERNANCE REQUIREMENTS (ETHICS, INSURANCE ETC.)

The HCF Research Foundation will not provide any funds until such time as the Foundation is assured that all ethics approvals and other governance approvals have been granted, in order for the research to proceed. Additionally, the Foundation must be assured that the administering institution has all the appropriate insurance and relevant policies in place prior to the provision of funding.

C) COMMUNICATIONS (CONFIDENTIALITY, ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF SUPPORT, MEDIA ETC.)

All publications, including journal articles, conference presentations and media releases that emerge from awarded grants must acknowledge the support of the HCF Research Foundation. The HCF Research Foundation requests all articles and media releases be provided to the Foundation for review and approval prior to public release.

HCF Research Foundation may also request funded researchers make themselves available to present their work at conferences and webinars from time to time, and for public communications.

D) REPORTING

Lead Investigators of funded awards will be required to submit progress reports (including financial reports) at regular intervals through the funding period. The format of these reports is standard and available on the HCF Research Foundation website. Payment of grant installments by the HCF Research Foundation will be conditional on receipt and approval of progress reports. Unsatisfactory reports, or failure to submit reports may result in the suspension of funding by the HCF Research Foundation.

E) PEER REVIEW PARTICIPATION

In order to deliver a fair review process and ensure that the very highest quality research projects are identified for funding the HCF Research Foundation relies on the support of the research community through taking part in peer review. All Lead Investigators and Co-investigators on submitted applications are strongly encouraged to take part in peer review, and all named investigators on successfully funded grants are expected to take part in the HCF Research Foundation's peer review through the duration of the grant.

6. APPLICATION INSTRUCTIONS

Applicants should make sure their applications are easy to read and understand. Use plain language, do not use acronyms, jargon or buzz-words. Use headings, bold, underline, bullets to make the text readable and easy on the eye. Avoid large blocks of text without paragraph breaks.

Applicants need only provide their application in electronic form, via email with a single PDF attachment to hcffoundation@hcf.com.au

FORMAT	FILE NAME	FILE NAME EXAMPLE
APPLICATION FORM (AND APPENDED SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS)	PDF PISurnameFirstname_Application	SmithJohn_Application.pdf

Note: The email subject for electronic submission should be the same as the grant application file name.

The PDF file should contain all the information required to evaluate the application. This includes the application form, and relevant supporting documentation, for example for career disruption (if applicable) and ethics approvals. Cover letters will not be taken into consideration.

The application email must be received in the HCF Research Foundation email inbox by **5.00pm AEST on 27th August 2021**. All applicants are encouraged to submit their applications well in advance of the deadline. The HCF Research Foundation will confirm the successful receipt of your submission by return email as soon as practical. Once submitted the application is considered final, and no changes or resubmissions will be permitted.

7. CONTACT HCF RESEARCH FOUNDATION

For any questions relating to the Health Services Research Grants application process please contact hcffoundation@hcf.com.au

1 APPENDIX A – ASSESSMENT CRITERIA

CRITERIA	EXCELLENT (5)	GOOD (4)	ACCEPTABLE (3)	BELOW STANDARD (2)	POOR (1)
RESEARCH DESIGN (35%)	Clearly articulated and extremely well-founded and justified concept and rationale. Research aims highly focussed and appropriate to hypothesis. Near perfect structure, well-designed to address hypothesis, highly feasible. Identifies and manages scientific and technical risks. Will generate high quality research data/evidence.	Very well justified hypothesis and rationale, appropriate aims. Overall well-designed with only minor limitations. Likely to generate high quality research data/evidence.	Well-articulated and sound concept and rationale. Research aims relevant to hypothesis. Good and appropriate design but requires methodology improvement. Less likely to generate high quality research data/evidence.	Generally clear and satisfactory concept and rationale. Research aims somewhat aligned to hypothesis. Design will not sufficiently address hypothesis without major changes. Unlikely to generate high quality research data/evidence.	Poorly described rationale with no basis for hypothesis and aims. Has significant flaws or not well articulated. Will not generate high quality research data or evidence.
TRANSLATION POTENTIAL (20%)	Translation realised immediately post research.	High opportunity to implement Findings.	Practice change difficult due to dependencies.	Unlikely to be implemented.	Little/no translation opportunity.
SIGNIFICANCE (20%)	Very significant issue due to population scale or severity. Will significantly improve health care outcomes. Will achieve significant health system efficiency improvement.	Significant issue due to population scale or severity. High feasibility of improving health care outcomes. Significant chance to reduce health system costs	Somewhat significant issue due to population scale or severity. May improve health care outcomes. Reasonable chance in reducing health system costs.	Small scale/low relevance issue. Unlikely to improve health outcomes. Unlikely to reduce system costs.	Very minor/Irrelevant issue. No potential to improve health outcomes. Minimal opportunity to reduce system costs.
TEAM AND STAKEHOLDERS (25%)	Team have exceptional expertise in all facets of project. Highly experienced and competent team with exceptional record and outcomes in areas relevant to the research. All relevant organisations engaged during research.	Team covers most aspects of the project. Experienced and solid team with very good evidence of previous research outcomes. Most relevant organisations considered during research.	Team has some expertise relevant to the project. Team has experience in some aspects of the project and moderate research outcomes. Some relevant organisations considered during research.	Team has limited expertise needed for the project. Team has limited experience relevant to the project with minor research outcomes. Most relevant organisations omitted from consideration during research.	Team has no relevant expertise. Team has limited evidence of successful research outcomes. No consideration of relevant organisations.